

2015 - 2016 Report Card for Dayton City School District

DISTRICT GRADE

Coming in
2018



Achievement

The Achievement component represents the number of students who passed the state tests and how well they performed on them.

COMPONENT GRADE

F

Performance Index	46.2%.....	F
Indicators Met	0.0%.....	F



Progress

The Progress component looks closely at the growth that all students are making based on their past performances.

COMPONENT GRADE

A

Value-Added		
Overall.....		A
Gifted.....		B
Students with Disabilities.....		A
Lowest 20% in Achievement.....		A



Gap Closing

The Gap Closing component shows how well schools are meeting the performance expectations for our most vulnerable populations of students in English language arts, math and graduation.

COMPONENT GRADE

F

Annual Measurable Objectives	10.2%.....	F
------------------------------	------------	---



Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate component looks at the percent of students who are successfully finishing high school with a diploma in four or five years.

COMPONENT GRADE

F

Graduation Rates		
75.0% of students graduated in 4 years.....		F
80.3% of students graduated in 5 years.....		D



K-3 Literacy

The K-3 Literacy component looks at how successful the school is at getting struggling readers on track to proficiency in third grade and beyond.

COMPONENT GRADE

F

K-3 Literacy Improvement	19.9%.....	F
--------------------------	------------	---



Prepared for Success

Whether training in a technical field or preparing for work or college, the Prepared for Success component looks at how well prepared Ohio's students are for all future opportunities.

COMPONENT GRADE

F

Achievement



The Achievement component represents the number of students who passed the state tests and how well they performed on them.

COMPONENT GRADE

F


GRADE

F

Performance Index

The Performance Index measures the test results of every student, not just those who score proficient or higher. There are six levels on the index and districts receive points for every student in each of these levels. The higher the achievement level the more the points awarded in the district's index. This rewards schools and districts for improving the performance of all students, regardless of achievement level.

Performance Index




46.2%


55.5 of a possible 120.0

- A = 90.0 - 100.0%
- B = 80.0 - 89.9%
- C = 70.0 - 79.9%
- D = 50.0 - 69.9%
- F = 0.0 - 49.9%

Achievement Level	Pct of Students		Points for this Level	=	Points Received
Advanced Plus	0.1	x	1.3	=	0.1
Advanced	3.6	x	1.2	=	4.4
Accelerated	6.9	x	1.1	=	7.6
Proficient	14.5	x	1.0	=	14.5
Basic	22.2	x	0.6	=	13.3
Limited	52.1	x	0.3	=	15.6
Untested	0.6	x	0.0	=	0.0
					55.5



Performance Index Trend



GRADE
F


Indicators Met

Indicators Met measures the percent of students who have passed state tests. It also includes the gifted indicator. Test results are reported for each student in a grade and subject.

Indicators Met %

0.0%
0 out of 30

A = 90.0 - 100.0%
B = 80.0 - 89.9%
C = 70.0 - 79.9%
D = 50.0 - 69.9%
F = 0.0 - 49.9%



Grades 3-5

3rd Grade	English Language Arts	27.2%	X
	Mathematics	32.6%	X
4th Grade	English Language Arts	30.1%	X
	Mathematics	27.4%	X
	Social Studies	38.8%	X
5th Grade	English Language Arts	29.0%	X
	Mathematics	18.0%	X
	Science	22.3%	X

Grades 6-8




6th Grade	English Language Arts	18.9%	X
	Mathematics	15.9%	X
	Social Studies	17.1%	X
7th Grade	English Language Arts	27.2%	X
	Mathematics	26.2%	X
8th Grade	English Language Arts	20.1%	X
	Mathematics	21.5%	X
	Science	26.1%	X

GIFTED INDICATOR **X**




High School

OGT, 11th Graders	Mathematics	76.9%	X
	Reading	82.6%	X
	Science	66.1%	X
	Social Studies	77.5%	X
	Writing	81.5%	X
HS	Algebra I	22.4%	X
	Biology	24.1%	X
	English I	25.7%	X
	English II	28.8%	X
	Geometry	17.3%	X
	Government	40.4%	X
	History	31.1%	X
	Math I	NC	
	Physical Science	25.9%	X


Achievement Levels by Grade




Proficient Percent Trend by Grade




6th Grade




6th Grade




7th Grade




7th Grade



8th Grade





8th Grade




11th Grade Cumulative OGT

11th Grade Cumulative OGT


2015 - 2016 Report Card for Dayton City School District



High School



High School



Gifted Students



The Gifted Students data and Indicator highlight the opportunities for and performance of gifted students. The dashboard answers several questions: How many students are identified as gifted and in what categories? How many of those students are receiving gifted services? How well are those gifted students performing? The Gifted Indicator measures whether opportunity and performance expectations are being met for gifted students.

INDICATOR

Not Met

Overview

The Gifted Indicator is derived from three components: Gifted Value Added grade, the Performance Index for gifted students, and a Gifted Inputs score.

Gifted Value Added

Value Added Grade:	B	Districts must earn a Gifted Value Added grade of C or better to meet the Gifted Value Added component. A grade of "NR" results in Not Met if the district has an ADM of 600 or more.
Enrollment:	12,943	
Value Added Met?:	1	

Gifted Performance Index

Performance Index:	104.400	Districts with at least 10 unique students in the Gifted Performance Index calculation must score 116.0 or better to meet the Gifted Performance Index component.
Performance Index Met?:	Not Met	

Gifted Inputs

Total Points:	43.0	Points are earned based on identification and services provided to gifted students. Districts must earn at least 60 points out of a possible 100 to meet the Gifted Inputs component.
Gifted Inputs Met?:	Not Met	

Gifted Indicator Final Result


INDICATOR

Not Met

The Gifted Indicator is Met if none of the three components are Not Met. Gifted Inputs alone cannot determine the Gifted Indicator, however; if both the Value Added and Performance Index components are NC, then the Gifted Indicator is also NC.

Performance Index

The Performance Index calculation for gifted students. Only tests taken by students identified as gifted in that subject (e.g. gifted in Math and taking the Math test), or taken by students identified with Super Cognitive abilities regardless of test subject are included.




87.0%

104.400 of a possible 120.0

- A = 90.0 - 100.0%
- B = 80.0 - 89.9%
- C = 70.0 - 79.9%
- D = 50.0 - 69.9%
- F = 0.0 - 49.9%

Achievement Level	Pct of Students		Points for this Level	=	Points Received
Advanced Plus	3.0	x	1.3	=	3.9
Advanced	34.3	x	1.2	=	41.1
Accelerated	30.0	x	1.1	=	33.0
Proficient	20.3	x	1.0	=	20.3
Basic	8.3	x	0.6	=	5.0
Limited	4.0	x	0.3	=	1.2
Untested	0.3	x	0.0	=	0.0
					104.400




Gifted Indicator


The Gifted Inputs calculation assigns points based on the percentage of students identified and served in eight categories (factors). The points earned for each category are totaled to determine the final Met/Not Met determination for the Gifted Input component.

Reading, Math, Science, Social Studies, and Superior Cognitive Abilities


K-3



4-8




9-12




Visual/Performing Arts and Creative Thinking


K-3




4-8




9-12



Disadvantaged Students



Minority Students



Receiving Gifted Services


Identified as Gifted, but not receiving services

Not Identified as Gifted


Identification and Services

These charts show the percentage of enrolled students that are identified as gifted and that are receiving gifted services.


All Grades




Grades K-3



Grades 4-8




Grades 9-12




Identified and Receiving Services

These charts show, of the students identified as gifted, the percentage of students receiving gifted services.


All Grades




Grades K-3



Grades 4-8




Grades 9-12



Screening

This chart shows the percentage of students screened for gifted abilities this school year.



Acceleration

Number of Subject Accelerated Students:

67

Number of Whole-Grade Accelerated Students:

< 10

Progress



The Progress component looks closely at the growth that all students are making based on their past performances.

COMPONENT GRADE

A

GRADE
A

Overall

This measures the progress for all students in math, ELA, science and social studies using tests in grades 4-8 and some end-of-course exams.

GRADE
B

Gifted Students

This measures the progress for students identified as gifted in reading, math, science, social studies and/or superior cognitive ability.

GRADE
A

Students in the Lowest 20% in Achievement

This measures the progress for students identified as the lowest 20% statewide in reading, math, science or social studies achievement.

GRADE
A

Students with Disabilities

This measures the progress for students with disabilities.

Progress Details

These tables show the Progress scores by test grade and subject for students in grades 4-8 and some end-of-course tests, and includes up to three years of data as available.

Test Grade	Progress Score				
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Social Studies	Science	All Tests
All Grades	18.14	-1.88	-8.33	-15.60	4.91
4th Grade	-1.85	-3.79			-3.59
5th Grade	13.35	-6.04		-15.13	-1.19
6th Grade	9.02	4.91	-8.33		4.84
7th Grade	10.14	4.45			9.85
8th Grade	12.42	-7.99		-7.39	0.57

Test Grade		Progress Score
High School	English I	1.54
	English II	1.56


Test Grade		Progress Score
High School	Algebra I	5.56
	Geometry	-0.72

Although Progress scores are not assigned letter grades at this level of detail, the grading scale applied at the Overall (All Students, All Tests) level is:

A = 2.00 and up
 B = 1.00 to 1.99
 C = -1.00 to 0.99
 D = -2.00 to -1.01
 F = below -2.00

Progress vs. Performance Index

This bubble chart shows the relationship between each subgroup's Performance Index results (horizontal axis) to the Value-Added letter grade (vertical axis). The size of the bubble represents the size of the student subgroup.



Gap Closing



The Gap Closing component shows how well schools are meeting the performance expectations for our most vulnerable populations of students in English language arts, math and graduation.

COMPONENT GRADE


F

GRADE

F


Annual Measurable Objectives

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) compare the performance of student groups to a state goal which is displayed as the red line in the following charts. These charts show how well each group achieves that goal in reading, math and graduation – and emphasize any achievement gaps that exist between groups. The ultimate goal is for all groups to achieve at high levels.




10.2%


- A = 90.0 - 100.0%
- B = 80.0 - 89.9%
- C = 70.0 - 79.9%
- D = 60.0 - 69.9%
- F = 0.0 - 59.9%



- Asian/Pacific Islander
- White
- Multiracial
- Hispanic
- All Students
- Econ Disadvantage
- African American
- Limited English
- Students w/ Disabilities



- Asian/Pacific Islander
- White
- Hispanic
- Multiracial
- All Students
- Econ Disadvantage
- Limited English
- African American
- Students w/ Disabilities



- African American
- All Students
- Econ Disadvantage
- Hispanic
- White
- Multiracial
- Students w/ Disabilities

The red line on each graph identifies the Annual Measurable Objective. The 2016 AMO for ELA is 74.2%, for Math is 68.5%, and for Graduation Rate is 82.8%. Subgroups with fewer than 30 students are not rated and do not appear on the graphs.

Graduation Rate




The Graduation Rate component looks at the percent of students who are successfully finishing high school with a diploma in four or five years.

COMPONENT GRADE

F


4-Year Graduation Rate

The 4-year graduation rate applies to the Class of 2015 who graduated within four years, i.e. students who entered the 9th grade in 2012 and graduated by 2015.




75.0%


- A = 93.0 - 100.0%
- B = 89.0 - 92.9%
- C = 84.0 - 88.9%
- D = 79.0 - 83.9%
- F = 0.0 - 78.9%



4-Year Rate



5-Year Rate




5-Year Graduation Rate

The 5-year graduation rate applies to the Class of 2014 who graduated within five years, i.e. students who entered the 9th grade in 2011 and graduated by 2015.



80.3%


- A = 95.0 - 100.0%
- B = 90.0 - 94.9%
- C = 85.0 - 89.9%
- D = 80.0 - 84.9%
- F = 0.0 - 79.9%



District State Average Similar Districts

District State Average Similar Districts

Graduation Rate Trend



Note: The 5-year graduation rate does not appear in the final year of this graph because the necessary data is not yet available to calculate the 5-year rate for that school year.

K-3 Literacy



The K-3 Literacy component looks at how successful the school is at getting struggling readers on track to proficiency in third grade and beyond.


COMPONENT GRADE

F

GRADE

F

K-3 Literacy Improvement



19.9%

428 out of 2,149

A = 81.4 - 100.0%
 B = 62.6 - 81.3%
 C = 43.8 - 62.5%
 D = 25.0 - 43.7%
 F = 0.0 - 24.9%

In Your District...

679 kindergarten students were not on-track last year.
 43.3% of those students improved to on-track in 1st grade.

387 first grade students were not on-track last year.
 16.5% of those students improved to on-track in 2nd grade.


480 second grade students were not on-track last year.
 17.1% of those students improved to on-track in 3rd grade.

603 third grade students were not on-track this year.
 13.1% of those students reached proficiency on the 3rd grade OAA.

Details of Measure

Not On-Track at Point A		Improving to On-Track at Point B		
Kindergarten Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2014 - 2015	679	to	1st Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2015 - 2016	294
1st Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2014 - 2015	387	to	2nd Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2015 - 2016	64
2nd Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2014 - 2015	480	to	3rd Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2015 - 2016	82
3rd Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2015 - 2016	603	to	3rd Grade Reading OST, School Year 2015 - 2016	79
Deduction for 3rd graders who did not pass OST and were not on a Reading Improvement and Monitoring Plan			91	
Totals	2,149			428

Percentage On-Track in Reading Diagnostic



This chart shows the overall percentage of students that were on-track/not-on-track for each grade level reading diagnostic in 2015-2016.

Third Grade Reading Guarantee

Ohio's Third Grade Reading Guarantee ensures that students are successful in reading before moving on to fourth grade. Schools must provide supports for struggling readers in early grades. If a child appears to be falling behind in reading, the school will immediately start a Reading Improvement and Monitoring Plan. The program ensures that every struggling reader gets the support he or she needs to learn and achieve.

Students have multiple opportunities to meet promotion requirements including meeting a minimum promotion score on the reading portion of the state's third grade English language arts test given twice during the school year. Students have an additional opportunity to take the state assessment in the summer, as well as a district-determined alternative assessment.

How many third graders met the Third Grade Reading Guarantee requirements for promotion to 4th grade? **86.7%**

How many third graders scored proficient on the state Reading test? **27.2%**

Prepared for Success




Whether training in a technical field or preparing for work or college, the Prepared for Success component looks at how well prepared Ohio's students are for all future opportunities.

COMPONENT GRADE

F

COMPONENT GRADE

F




Number of students that earned a remediation free score on all parts of the ACT or SAT, earned an honors diploma, and/or earned an industry-recognized credential

The number of "bonus" students that count an additional 0.3 bonus points each, because they did the above and also earned a 3 or higher on at least one AP exam; earned a 4 or higher on at least one IB exam; and/or earned at least three college credits before leaving high school

	Number of Students	Point Value	Points Earned
Number of students that earned a remediation free score on all parts of the ACT or SAT, earned an honors diploma, and/or earned an industry-recognized credential	193	1	193.0
The number of "bonus" students that count an additional 0.3 bonus points each, because they did the above and also earned a 3 or higher on at least one AP exam; earned a 4 or higher on at least one IB exam; and/or earned at least three college credits before leaving high school	114	0.3	34.2
Total Points:			227.2
Graduation Cohort:			1,910
Percentage:			11.9%

How Prepared were Your 2014 and 2015 Graduating Classes?




Note: These data represent students in the 4-year and 5-year graduation rates, i.e. students who entered 9th grade in 2011 and 2012.

How Prepared were Your 2014 and 2015 Graduating Classes?


Districts and schools have long-term impacts on student outcomes. The Prepared for Success component provides information on how schools prepare students for different pathways of college and career success. It also provides insights on how those students do once they leave high school. What happens beyond the diploma is an important indicator of how well schools are preparing students.

The University System of Ohio provides [district reports](#) on enrollment and remediation of high school graduates attending in-state, public colleges and universities.

What Percentage of the 2013 Graduating Class Entered College within Two Years? **51.3 %**



What Percentage of the 2009 Graduating Class Graduated from College within Six Years of Leaving High School? **15.0 %**



Note: These data represent students in the 4-year and 5-year graduation rates, i.e. students who entered 9th grade in 2011 and 2012.



Superintendent: Rhonda A. Corr
 Address: 115 S Ludlow St
 Dayton OH 45402-1812

Directory information current as of the 2015-2016 Report Card publication date

Phone: (937) 542-3000

County: Montgomery

Career Tech

Planning District: Dayton City CTPD

Your District's Students

Average Daily Enrollment:

13,902


Number of Limited English Proficiency Students Excluded from Accountability Calculations:

83

Enrollment by Subgroup

	Enrollment #	Enrollment %
Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	19	0.1%
Asian or Pacific Islander	71	0.5%
Black, Non-Hispanic	9,089	65.4%
Hispanic	578	4.2%
Multiracial	674	4.8%
White, Non-Hispanic	3,471	25.0%
Students with Disabilities	2,688	19.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	13,901	100.0%
Limited English Proficiency	763	5.5%
Migrant	NC	

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group



Enrollments of less than 10 students are not shown.


Attendance

Chronic Absenteeism Rate:

26.8%

	Attendance Rate
All Students	92.0%
Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	92.6%
Asian or Pacific Islander	95.1%
Black, Non-Hispanic	92.0%
Hispanic	94.1%
Multiracial	90.9%
White, Non-Hispanic	91.7%
Students with Disabilities	89.6%
Economic Disadvantage	91.8%
Limited English Proficiency	94.1%
Migrant	NC
Male	91.6%
Female	92.3%

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group




Attendance Rate is not shown if enrollment is less than 10.

Mobility Rates by Subgroup

	District Mobility %
All Students	16.2%
Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	23.8%
Asian or Pacific Islander	2.9%
Black, Non-Hispanic	14.4%
Hispanic	17.8%
Multiracial	17.5%
White, Non-Hispanic	20.7%
Students with Disabilities	17.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	16.0%
Limited English Proficiency	14.9%
Migrant	NC

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group



This chart shows the percentage of students who, because they moved into or out of the district, did not spend a majority of the school year within the district.

Your District's Teachers

	All Schools in the District	High Poverty Schools	Low Poverty Schools
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	99.1	99.2	0.0
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	51.4	53.6	0.0
Percentage of core academic subject and elementary classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	14	13.8	--
Percentage of core academic subject and elementary classes taught by properly certified teachers	89.6	89.6	--
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure	0	0	--

A district's high poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of the district's economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. A district may have buildings in both quartiles, in just one quartile, or in neither quartile.

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

Educators in your District

	#	Per 1000 Students	State Avg per 1000 Students
School Counselors	12.0	0.9	2.3
School Nurses	29.0	2.1	1.1
School Psychologists	17.0	1.2	1.2
Interpreters	15.0	1.1	0.2
Library or Media Specialists	5.0	0.4	1.4
Audiologist	3.0	0.2	0.0
Physical/Occupational Therapist	10.0	0.7	0.8
Social Worker	0.0	0.0	0.2
General Education Teachers	707.9	50.9	46.4
Career-Technical Teachers	32.0	2.3	2.3
Special Education Teachers	270.3	19.4	10.6
Teacher Aides	54.0	3.9	7.0
Gifted Intervention Specialists	19.0	1.4	0.6
Fine Arts Teachers	45.6	3.3	3.0
Music Teachers	31.6	2.3	2.5
Physical Education Teachers	53.5	3.8	2.9
ELL Specialists	8.0	0.6	0.3
Adaptive Physical Education Teachers	5.0	0.4	0.0
Speech Language Pathologists	12.0	0.9	1.4

Your District's Principals

Percentage of principals with at least a Bachelor's Degree	100.0%
Percentage of principals with at least a Master's Degree	87.0%

Attendance Rate

95.5%

Average Salary

\$41,927


Average Years of Experience

14

Lead or Senior Teachers


15.0

Teacher Evaluations



Accomplished Skilled Developing
Ineffective Not Complete

Principal Evaluations



Accomplished Skilled Developing
Ineffective Not Complete

Wellness and Physical Education

The extent to which students are successful in meeting the benchmarks contained in Ohio's physical education standards

Moderate Success

Compliance with the federal requirement for implementing a local wellness policy



Elected to administer BMI screening



Participation in Physical Activity Pilot Program



School Choice Options: Place of Enrollment for Students Residing in the District

The School Choice Options data is a set of nine counts describing the place of enrollment for students residing in the school district, captured as a snapshot of a single day in the school year. Web links provide further information about certain options.

Districts and STEM Schools

12,746 students enrolled in the district where they lived

869 students enrolled in another public district through Open Enrollment

737 students enrolled in another public district by means other than Open Enrollment

Community Schools

979 students enrolled in an online community school

5,619 students enrolled in a site-based community school

422 students enrolled in a Dropout Prevention and Recovery Program (online or site-based)*

*Students included in Dropout Prevention and Recovery Program counts are also included in either the online or site-based community school counts.

Non-Public Schools*

2,345 students participated in the EdChoice Scholarship or Cleveland Scholarship Program

37 students participated in the EdChoice Expansion Program

79 students participated in the Ohio Autism Scholarship or Jon Peterson Special Needs Scholarship Program

*ODE does not collect and cannot report information on district residents who are non-voucher students attending a non-public school.

Financial Data



These measures answer several questions about spending and performance. How much is spent on Classroom instruction? How much, on average, is spent on each student? What is the source of the revenue? How do these measures compare to other districts and schools?

Comparison Group: Enrollment 10,000 or more

Classroom Spending Data


What percent of funds are spent on classroom instruction?

60.1%

How does this district rank in comparison to other districts of similar size?

14 out of 14




A rank of 1 indicates the highest percent spent on classroom instruction.



District

Comparison Group

State




Classroom Instruction

Non-Classroom Instruction

Spending per Pupil Data

	District	State
Operating Spending per Pupil	\$9,109	\$8,840
Classroom Instruction	\$5,474	\$5,942
Non-Classroom Spending	\$3,635	\$2,898




- ✘ Dayton City IS NOT among the 20% of public districts with the lowest operating expenditures per pupil
- ✘ Dayton City IS NOT among the 20% of public districts with the highest academic performance index scores.

Note: District financial data do not include data associated with community schools that are sponsored by the school district.


Spending and Performance

This measure answers the question – what is the relationship of average spending per student to performance, and how does that compare to similar districts and schools?


Similar Districts



Comparison Group




All Districts



Source of Revenue


Source of Funds	District		State Total	
Local	\$56,976,991	20.7%	\$8,697,598,445	39.5%
State	\$183,696,309	66.8%	\$10,072,007,846	45.7%
Federal	\$28,756,693	10.5%	\$1,699,267,399	7.7%
Other Non-Tax	\$5,613,620	2.0%	\$1,562,415,185	7.1%
Total	\$275,043,614	100.0%	\$22,031,288,875	100.0%

District



■ Local
■ State
■ Federal
■ Other Non-Tax

State



■ Local
■ Federal
■ State
■ Other Non-Tax



Superintendent: Rhonda A. Corr
 Address: 115 S Ludlow St
 Dayton OH 45402-1812

Phone: (937) 542-3000
 County: Montgomery

Your District's Schools

School	Achievement	Progress	Gap Closing	Graduation Rate	K-3 Literacy	Prepared for Success
Belle Haven PreK-8 School	F	A	F	NR	D	NR
Belmont High School	F	D	F	F	NR	F
Charity Adams Earley Girls Academy	F	A	F	NR	D	NR
Cleveland PreK-6 School	F	B	F	NR	F	NR
David H. Ponitz Career Technology Center	F	B	F	B	NR	D
Dayton Boys Preparatory Academy	F	A	F	NR	F	NR
Dayton Early College Academy, Inc	D	A	D	A	NR	F
DECA PREP	D	D	F	NR	F	NR
Dunbar Early College High School	F	B	F	F	NR	F
Eastmont Park PreK-8 School	F	A	F	NR	D	NR
Edison PreK-8 School	F	A	F	NR	F	NR
Edwin Joel Brown PreK-8 School	F	D	F	NR	D	NR
Fairview PreK-8 School	F	D	F	NR	F	NR
Horace Mann PreK-8 School	D	A	F	NR	D	NR
Kemp PreK-6 School	F	A	F	NR	F	NR
Kiser PreK-8 School	F	C	F	NR	D	NR
Longfellow Alternative School	F	D	NR	F	NR	F
Louise Troy PreK-4 School	F	C	F	NR	F	NR
Meadowdale High School	F	D	F	F	NR	F
Meadowdale PreK-8 School	F	A	F	NR	F	NR
River's Edge Montessori PreK-6 School	F	B	F	NR	F	NR
Rosa Parks Early Learning Center	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Ruskin PreK-8 School	D	B	F	NR	D	NR
Stivers School For The Arts	D	B	F	B	NR	D
Thurgood Marshall High School	F	C	F	F	NR	F
Valerie PreK-6 School	F	F	F	NR	D	NR
Westwood PreK-8 School	F	C	F	NR	F	NR
Wogaman 5-8 School	F	B	F	NR	NR	NR
World of Wonder PreK-8 School	F	B	F	NR	F	NR
Wright Brothers PreK-8 School	F	C	F	NR	D	NR

Dropout Recovery School	Achievement	Progress	Gap Closing	Graduation Rate
Dayton Business Technology High School	Meets Standards	Does Not Meet Standards	Exceeds Standards	Exceeds Standards