## 2015 - 2016 Report Card for Ravenna City School District

#### DISTRICT GRADE



| Achievement component represents the number of students who passed the state tests and how well they performed on them.  | COMPONENT GRADE | Progress<br>The Progress component looks closely at the<br>growth that all students are making based on<br>their past performances.  | COMPONENT GRADE |
|--|-----------------|--|-----------------|
| Performance Index<br>67.5%D<br>Indicators Met<br>20.0%F  |                 | Value-Added F<br>OverallF<br>GiftedF<br>Students with DisabilitiesC<br>Lowest 20% in AchievementF  |                 |
| Gap Closing<br>The Gap Closing component shows how well schools<br>are meeting the performance expectations for our<br>most vulnerable populations of students in English<br>language arts, math and graduation. | COMPONENT GRADE | Graduation Rate<br>The Graduation Rate component looks at the<br>percent of students who are successfully finishing<br>high school with a diploma in four or five years.   | COMPONENT GRADE |
| Annual Measurable Objectives<br>25.5%F   |                 | Graduation Rates<br>84.2% of students graduated in 4 yearsC<br>91.5% of students graduated in 5 yearsB   |                 |
| K-3 Literacy<br>The K-3 Literacy component looks at how successful<br>the school is at getting struggling readers on track to<br>proficiency in third grade and beyond.  | COMPONENT GRADE | Prepared for Success<br>Whether training in a technical field or preparing<br>for work or college, the Prepared for Success<br>component looks at how well prepared Ohio's<br>students are for all future opportunities. | COMPONENT GRADE |
| K-3 Literacy Improvement<br>36.3%  |                 |  |                 |

#### 2015 - 2016 Report Card for Ravenna City School District

#### **Achievement**



The Achievement component represents the number of students who passed the state tests and how well they performed on them.

COMPONENT GRADE



# GRADE

#### Performance Index

The Performance Index measures the test results of every student, not just those who score proficient or higher. There are six levels on the index and districts receive points for every student in each of these levels. The higher the achievement level the more the points awarded in the district's index. This rewards schools and districts for improving the performance of all students, regardless of achievement level.


#### Performance Index




| Achievement<br>Level | Pct of<br>Students | • | oints for<br>his Level | R | Points<br>eceived |
|----------------------|--------------------|---|------------------------|---|-------------------|
| Advanced Plus        | 0.0                | х | 1.3                    | = | 0.0               |
| Advanced             | 12.5               | х | 1.2                    | = | 15.0              |
| Accelerated          | 19.8               | х | 1.1                    | = | 21.8              |
| Proficient           | 25.2               | х | 1.0                    | = | 25.2              |
| Basic                | 20.9               | х | 0.6                    | = | 12.6              |
| Limited              | 21.5               | х | 0.3                    | = | 6.5               |
| Untested             | 0.0                | х | 0.0                    | = | 0.0               |
|                      |                    |   |                        |   | 81.0              |

67.5% 81.0 of a possible 120.0

| A = | 90.0 - 100.0% |
|-----|---------------|
| B = | 80.0 - 89.9%  |
| C = | 70.0 - 79.9%  |
| D = | 50.0 - 69.9%  |
| F = | 0.0 - 49.9%   |



Performance Index Trend






#### Indicators Met

Indicators Met measures the percent of students who have passed state tests. It also includes the gifted indicator. Test results are reported for each student in a grade and subject.

#### Indicators Met %





#### High School

|                   | Mathematics      | 83.7% | × |
|-------------------|------------------|-------|---|
|                   | Reading          | 91.0% | V |
| OGT, 11th Graders | Science          | 78.7% | × |
|                   | Social Studies   | 84.8% | × |
|                   | Writing          | 89.3% | ~ |
|                   | Algebra I        | 30.3% | × |
|                   | Biology          | 65.0% | × |
|                   | English I        | 50.5% | × |
| HS                | English II       | 48.3% | × |
| 113               | Geometry         | 27.8% | × |
|                   | Government       | 79.0% | ~ |
|                   | History          | 61.6% | × |
|                   | Physical Science | 20.0% | × |

| G | ra | Ч | es | 6. | .8 |
|---|----|---|----|----|----|
| U |    |   |    |    |    |


|           |                | English Language Arts | 49.4% | × |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------------|-------|---|
| 6th Grade | Mathematics    | 54.9%                 | ×     |   |
|           | Social Studies | 53.0%                 | ×     |   |
|           |                | English Language Arts | 47.1% | × |
| 7th Grade | Mathematics    | 51.8%                 | ×     |   |
|           |                | English Language Arts | 46.6% | × |
| 8th Grade | Mathematics    | 53.0%                 | ×     |   |
|           |                | Science               | 63.4% | × |

#### Grades 3-5


| 3rd Grade | English Language Arts | 53.2% | × |
|-----------|-----------------------|-------|---|
|           | Mathematics           | 67.0% | × |
| 4th Grade | English Language Arts | 66.1% | × |
|           | Mathematics           | 75.0% | V |
|           | Social Studies        | 81.0% | V |
| 5th Grade | English Language Arts | 69.7% | × |
|           | Mathematics           | 64.2% | × |
|           | Science               | 77.0% | V |

GIFTED INDICATOR


#### Achievement Levels by Grade




#### 4th Grade










Proficient Percent Trend by Grade


2013 2014 2015 • Reading • Mathematics • All Tests 2016


2012






5th Grade









7th Grade


8th Grade




11th Grade Cumulative OGT














11th Grade Cumulative OGT

## District






#### High School







#### Gifted Students



The Gifted Students data and Indicator highlight the opportunities for and performance of gifted students. The dashboard answers several questions: How many students are identified as gifted and in what categories? How many of those students are receiving gifted services? How well are those gifted students performing? The Gifted Indicator measures whether opportunity and performance expectations are being met for gifted students.

#### **Overview**

The Gifted Indicator is derived from three components: Gifted Value Added grade, the Performance Index for gifted students, and a Gifted Inputs score.

#### Gifted Value Added

| Value Added Grade: | F       |
|--------------------|---------|
| Enrollment:        | 2,307   |
| Value Added Met?   | Not Met |

Districts must earn a Gifted Value Added grade of C or better to meet the Gifted Value Added component. A grade of "NR" results in Not Met if the district has an ADM of 600 or more.

#### Gifted Performance Index

Performance Index: 112.746 Performance Index Met?: Not Met

Districts with at least 10 unique students in the Gifted Performance Index calculation must score 116.0 or better to meet the Gifted Performance Index component.

#### Gifted Inputs

Total Points: 42.0 Gifted Inputs Met?: Not Met

Points are earned based on identification and services provided to gifted students. Districts must earn at least 60 points out of a possible 100 to meet the Gifted Inputs component.

#### Gifted Indicator Final Result



The Gifted Indicator is Met if none of the three components are Not Met. Gifted Inputs alone cannot determine the Gifted Indicator, however; if both the Value Added and Performance Index components are NC, then the Gifted Indicator is also NC.



Not Met

## 94.0%


112.746 of a possible 120.0

| A = 90.0 - 100.0% |
|-------------------|
| B = 80.0 - 89.9%  |
| C = 70.0 - 79.9%  |
| D = 50.0 - 69.9%  |
| F = 0.0 - 49.9%   |


#### Performance Index

The Performance Index calculation for gifted students. Only tests taken by students identified as gifted in that subject (e.g. gifted in Math and taking the Math test), or taken by students identified with Super Cognitive abilities regardless of test subject are included.

| Achievement<br>Level | Pct of<br>Students |   | Points for<br>this Level | F | Points<br>Received |
|----------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------------|---|--------------------|
| Advanced Plus        | 0.0                | х | 1.3                      | = | 0.0                |
| Advanced             | 57.0               | х | 1.2                      | = | 68.4               |
| Accelerated          | 27.5               | х | 1.1                      | = | 30.2               |
| Proficient           | 12.7               | х | 1.0                      | = | 12.7               |
| Basic                | 2.0                | х | 0.6                      | = | 1.2                |
| Limited              | 0.8                | х | 0.3                      | = | 0.2                |
| Untested             | 0.0                | х | 0.0                      | = | 0.0                |
|                      |                    |   |                          |   | 112.746            |




Gifted Indicator The Gifted Inputs calculation assigns points based on the percentage of students identified and served in eight categories (factors). The points earned for each category are totaled to determine the final Met/Not Met determination for the Gifted Input component.










These charts show the percentage of enrolled students that are identified as gifted and that are receiving gifted services.

















#### Identified and Receiving Services





These charts show, of the students identified as gifted, the percentage of students receiving gifted services.











#### Progress



The Progress component looks closely at the growth that all students are making based on their past performances.

COMPONENT GRADE



#### Overall

This measures the progress for all students in math, ELA, science and social studies using tests in grades 4-8 and some end-of-course exams.



GRADE

F

GRADE

#### Gifted Students

This measures the progress for students identified as gifted in reading, math, science, social studies and/or superior cognitive ability.



#### Students in the Lowest 20% in Achievement This measures the progress for students identified as the lowest 20%

statewide in reading, math, science or social studies achievement.

| GRADE        |
|--------------|
| $\mathbf{C}$ |
|              |

#### Students with Disabilities

This measures the progress for students with disabilities.

#### **Progress Details**

These tables show the Progress scores by test grade and subject for students in grades 4-8 and some end-of-course tests, and includes up to three years of data as available.

|            | Progress Score                         |       |                |         |           |  |  |
|------------|--|-------|----------------|---------|-----------|--|--|
| Test Grade | est Grade English<br>Language Arts Mat |       | Social Studies | Science | All Tests |  |  |
| All Grades | -2.93                                  | -3.90 | -4.22          | -2.95   | -6.87     |  |  |
| 4th Grade  | 5.34                                   | 2.41  |                |         | 5.16      |  |  |
| 5th Grade  | -2.88                                  | -0.51 |                | -1.46   | -2.73     |  |  |
| 6th Grade  | -3.03                                  | 0.36  | -4.22          |         | -3.56     |  |  |
| 7th Grade  | -4.81                                  | -2.77 |                |         | -5.08     |  |  |
| 8th Grade  | -0.56                                  | 0.06  |                | -2.69   | -1.70     |  |  |

| Test Grade  |            | Progress Score |
|-------------|------------|----------------|
| High School | English I  | -0.54          |
|             | English II | -2.15          |


| Test Grade  |           | Progress Score |
|-------------|-----------|----------------|
| High School | Algebra I | -7.97          |
|             | Geometry  | -3.96          |

 $\begin{array}{rrrr} A = & 2.00 \mbox{ and } up \\ B = & 1.00 \mbox{ to } 1.99 \\ C = & -1.00 \mbox{ to } 0.99 \\ D = & -2.00 \mbox{ to } -1.01 \\ F = & below \mbox{ -}2.00 \end{array}$ 

| Although Progress scores are not            |
|---|
| assigned letter grades at this level of     |
| detail, the grading scale applied at the    |
| Overall (All Students, All Tests) level is: |

#### Progress vs. Performance Index

This bubble chart shows the relationship between each subgroup's Performance Index results (horizontal axis) to the Value-Added letter grade (vertical axis). The size of the bubble represents the size of the student subgroup.



#### Gap Closing



The Gap Closing component shows how well schools are meeting the performance expectations for our most vulnerable populations of students in English language arts, math and graduation.


#### COMPONENT GRADE





#### Annual Measurable Objectives

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) compare the performance of student groups to a state goal which is displayed as the red line in the following charts. These charts show how well each group achieves that goal in reading, math and graduation – and emphasize any achievement gaps that exist between groups. The ultimate goal is for all groups to achieve at high levels.



The red line on each graph identifies the Annual Measurable Objective. The 2016 AMO for ELA is 74.2%, for Math is 68.5%, and for Graduation Rate is 82.8%. Subgroups with fewer than 30 students are not rated and do not appear on the graphs.

#### **Graduation Rate**




The Graduation Rate component looks at the percent of students who are successfully finishing high school with a diploma in four or five years.

#### COMPONENT GRADE




#### 4-Year Graduation Rate


The 4-year graduation rate applies to the Class of 2015 who graduated within four years, i.e. students who entered the 9th grade in 2012 and graduated by 2015.




The 5-year graduation rate applies to the Class of 2014 who graduated within five years, i.e. students who entered the 9th grade in 2011 and graduated by 2015.









Graduation Rate Trend

Note: The 5-year graduation rate does not appear in the final year of this graph because the necessary data is not yet available to calculate the 5-year rate for that school year.

## K-3 Literacy



K-3 Literacy

36.3%

70 out of 193

The K-3 Literacy component looks at how successful the school is at getting struggling readers on track to proficiency in third grade and beyond.

#### COMPONENT GRAD




#### In Your District...

- 41 kindergarten students were not on-track last year.
- 78.0% of those students improved to ontrack in 1st grade.
  - 82 first grade students were not on-track last year.
- 74.4% of those students improved to ontrack in 2nd grade.
  - 55 second grade students were not ontrack last year.
- 80.0% of those students improved to ontrack in 3rd grade.
  - 15 third grade students were not on-track this year.
- 13.3% of those students reached proficiency on the 3rd grade OAA.

#### Details of Measure

| Not On-Track<br>Point A  | at  |    | Improving to On<br>at Point B                               |      |
|--|-----|----|---|------|
| Kindergarten Reading<br>Diagnostic, School<br>Year 2014 - 2015   | 41  | to | 1st Grade Reading<br>Diagnostic, School<br>Year 2015 - 2016 | < 10 |
| 1st Grade Reading<br>Diagnostic, School<br>Year 2014 - 2015  | 82  | to | 2nd Grade Reading<br>Diagnostic, School<br>Year 2015 - 2016 | < 10 |
| 2nd Grade Reading<br>Diagnostic, School<br>Year 2014 - 2015  | 55  | to | 3rd Grade Reading<br>Diagnostic, School<br>Year 2015 - 2016 | < 10 |
| 3rd Grade Reading<br>Diagnostic, School<br>Year 2015 - 2016  | 15  | to | 3rd Grade Reading<br>OST, School Year<br>2015 - 2016        | < 10 |
| Deduction for 3rd graders who did not pass OST and were not on a Reading Improvement and Monitoring Plan |     |    | 69  |      |
| Totals   | 193 |    |   | 70   |
|  |     |    |   |      |



This chart shows the overall percentage of students that were on-track/not-on-track for each grade level reading diagnostic in 2015-2016.


#### Fhird Grade Reading Guarantee

Ohio's Third Grade Reading Guarantee ensures that students are successful in reading before moving on to fourth grade. Schools must provide supports for struggling readers in early grades. If a child appears to be falling behind in reading, the school will immediately start a Reading Improvement and Monitoring Plan. The program ensures that every struggling reader gets the support he or she needs to learn and achieve.

Students have multiple opportunities to meet promotion requirements including meeting a minimum promotion score on the reading portion of the state's third grade English language arts test given twice during the school year. Students have an additional opportunity to take the state assessment in the summer, as well as a district-determined alternative assessment.

| How many third graders met the Third Grade Reading Guarantee requirements for promotion to 4th grade? | 100.0<br>% |
|---|------------|
| How many third graders scored proficient on the state Reading test?                                   | 53.2%      |

**Prepared for Success** 




Note: These data represent students in the 4-year and 5-year graduation rates, i.e. students who entered 9th grade in 2011 and 2012.

How Prepared were Your 2014 and 2015 Graduating Classes?

Districts and schools have long-term impacts on student outcomes. The Prepared for Success component provides information on how schools prepare students for different pathways of college and career success. It also provides insights on how those students do once they leave high school. What happens beyond the diploma is an important indicator of how well schools are preparing students.

The University System of Ohio provides <u>district reports</u> on enrollment and remediation of high school graduates attending in-state, public colleges and universities.



Note: These data represent students in the 4-year and 5-year graduation rates, i.e. students who entered 9th grade in 2011 and 2012.



Superintendent: Dennis M. Honkala Address: 507 E Main St Ravenna OH 44266-3257

Directory information current as of the 2015-2016 Report Card publication date

Phone: (330) 296-9679 County: Portage Career Tech Planning District: Maplewood Area JVSD CTPD

#### Your District's Students


Average Daily Enrollment:

#### Enrollment by Subgroup

| 2,461 Enrollment # Enrollment %<br>Am. Indian / Alaskan Native NC<br>Asian or Pacific Islander NC |  |
|---|--|
|   |  |
| Asian or Pacific Islander NC  |  |
|   |  |
| Number ofBlack, Non-Hispanic2399.7%   |  |
| Limited Hispanic 74 3.0%  |  |
| EnglishMultiracial2369.6%   |  |
| ProficiencyWhite, Non-Hispanic1,89777.1%  |  |
| StudentsStudents with Disabilities51020.7%  |  |
| Excluded from Economically Disadvantaged 2,303 93.6%  |  |
| Accountability Limited English Proficiency 15 0.6%  |  |
| Calculations: Migrant NC  |  |

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

State and federal law require an annual assessment of Limited English Proficient (LEP) students to measure their English language proficiency. The Ohio English Language Proficiency Assessment (OELPA) is the assessment used in Ohio to gauge LEP students' growth in learning English. For information about your district's OELPA results, see the Department of Education's web site at http://education.ohio.gov.



Enrollments of less than 10 students are not shown.

Economic Disadvantage

Migrant

Male Female

Limited English Proficiency

#### Attendance Rate All Students 93.8% Am. Indian / Alaskan Native NC NC Asian or Pacific Islander Black, Non-Hispanic 94.8% Hispanic 93.0% 93.7% Multiracial 93.7% White, Non-Hispanic Students with Disabilities 92.8%

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

93.8%


97.5% NC

94.0%

93.5%

Attendance

100%




Attendance Rate is not shown if enrollment is less than 10.

## Chronic Absenteeism Rate:

17.2%

| Distri                      | ict Mobility % |
|-----------------------------|----------------|
| All Students                | 13.6%          |
| Am. Indian / Alaskan Native | NC             |
| Asian or Pacific Islander   | 45.5%          |
| Black, Non-Hispanic         | 17.9%          |
| Hispanic                    | 22.2%          |
| Multiracial                 | 10.4%          |
| White, Non-Hispanic         | 12.9%          |
| Students with Disabilities  | 20.4%          |
| Economically Disadvantaged  | 13.1%          |
| Limited English Proficiency | 36.8%          |
| Migrant                     | NC             |
|                             |                |

Mobility Rates by Subgroup



This chart shows the percentage of students who, because they moved into or out of the district, did not spend a majority of the school year within the district.

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

#### Your District's Teachers

|   | All Schools in the<br>District | High Poverty<br>Schools | Low Poverty<br>Schools |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree  | 100.0                          | 100.0                   | 100.0                  |
| Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree  | 57.2                           | 59.2                    | 25.0                   |
| Percentage of core academic subject and elementary classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers  | 0                              | 0                       |                        |
| Percentage of core academic subject and elementary<br>classes taught by properly certified teachers   | 100                            | 100                     |                        |
| Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure | 0                              | 0                       |                        |

A district's high poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of the district's economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. A district may have buildings in both quartiles, in just one quartile, or in neither quartile.

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

#### Educators in your District

|   | #     | Per 1000<br>Students | State Avg<br>per 1000<br>Students |
|---|-------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| School Counselors                       | 6.5   | 2.6                  | 2.3                               |
| School Nurses                           | 1.9   | 0.8                  | 1.1                               |
| School Psychologists                    | 4.0   | 1.6                  | 1.2                               |
| Interpreters                            | 0.0   | 0.0                  | 0.2                               |
| Library or Media Specialists            | 6.7   | 2.7                  | 1.4                               |
| Audiologist                             | 0.0   | 0.0                  | 0.0                               |
| Physical/Occupational<br>Therapist      | 1.5   | 0.6                  | 0.8                               |
| Social Worker                           | 0.0   | 0.0                  | 0.2                               |
| General Education Teachers              | 119.8 | 48.7                 | 46.4                              |
| Career-Technical Teachers               | 3.0   | 1.2                  | 2.3                               |
| Special Education Teachers              | 39.8  | 16.2                 | 10.6                              |
| Teacher Aides                           | 3.6   | 1.5                  | 7.0                               |
| Gifted Intervention Specialists         | 2.0   | 0.8                  | 0.6                               |
| Fine Arts Teachers                      | 6.7   | 2.7                  | 3.0                               |
| Music Teachers                          | 5.6   | 2.3                  | 2.5                               |
| Physical Education Teachers             | 8.0   | 3.3                  | 2.9                               |
| ELL Specialists                         | 0.0   | 0.0                  | 0.3                               |
| Adaptive Physical Education<br>Teachers | 0.0   | 0.0                  | 0.0                               |
| Speech Language Pathologists            | 6.1   | 2.5                  | 1.4                               |

#### Your District's Principals

| Percentage of principals with at least a Bachelor's Degree | 100.0% |
|--|--------|
| Percentage of principals with at least a Master's Degree   | 100.0% |

Attendance Rate

95.4%

Average Salary

\$53,640


Average Years of Experience

5

Lead or Senior Teachers

0.0

#### 2015 - 2016 Report Card for Ravenna City School District



#### Wellness and Physical Education

| The extent to which students are successful in meeting the benchmarks contained in Ohio's physical education standards | Compliance with the federal    | Elected to     | Participation in  |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
|  | requirement for implementing a | administer BMI | Physical Activity |
|  | local wellness policy          | screening      | Pilot Program     |
| Moderate Success   | ×                              | ×              | ×                 |

#### School Choice Options: Place of Enrollment for Students Residing in the District

The School Choice Options data is a set of nine counts describing the place of enrollment for students residing in the school district, captured as a snapshot of a single day in the school year. Web links provide further information about certain options.


| Districts and STEM Schools  | Community Schools   | Non-Public Schools*  |
|---|---|--|
| 2,212 students enrolled in the district where they lived                                  | 93 students enrolled in an online community school  | 0 students participated in the<br>EdChoice Scholarship or<br>Cleveland Scholarship Program   |
| 282 students enrolled in another<br>public district through Open<br>Enrollment            | 7 students enrolled in a site-<br>based community school  | 11 students participated in the<br>EdChoice Expansion Program  |
| 71 students enrolled in another<br>public district by means other<br>than Open Enrollment | 10 students enrolled in a Dropout<br>Prevention and Recovery<br>Program (online or site-based)*   | <ul> <li>6 students participated in the<br/>Ohio Autism Scholarship or Jon<br/>Peterson Special Needs<br/>Scholarship Program</li> </ul>     |
|   | *Students included in Dropout Prevention and<br>Recovery Program counts are also included in<br>either the online or site-based community<br>school counts. | *ODE does not collect and cannot report<br>information on district residents who are non-<br>voucher students attending a non-public school. |

#### **Financial Data**




These measures answer several questions about spending and performance. How much is spent on Classroom instruction? How much, on average, is spent on each student? What is the source of the revenue? How do these measures compare to other districts and schools?

Comparison Group: Enrollment between 1000 and 2499



## Spending per Pupil Data


|                              | District | State   |
|------------------------------|----------|---------|
| Operating Spending per Pupil | \$7,648  | \$8,840 |
| Classroom Instruction        | \$5,132  | \$5,942 |
| Non-Classroom Spending       | \$2,515  | \$2,898 |




Note: District financial data do not include data associated with community schools that are sponsored by the school district.

## Spending and Performance


This measure answers the question - what is the relationship of average spending per student to performance, and how does that compare to similar districts and schools?





**Comparison Group** 

## All Districts




Spending per Pupil

Spending per Pupil

Spending per Pupil

## Source of Revenue

| Source of Funds | District     |        | State Total             | State Total |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--------|-------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Local           | \$9,909,674  | 30.2%  | \$8,697,598,445 39.5%   |             |  |  |
| State           | \$18,324,841 | 55.9%  | \$10,072,007,846 45.7%  |             |  |  |
| Federal         | \$3,361,655  | 10.2%  | \$1,699,267,399 7.7%    |             |  |  |
| Other Non-Tax   | \$1,208,394  | 3.7%   | \$1,562,415,185 7.1%    |             |  |  |
| Total           | \$32,804,564 | 100.0% | \$22,031,288,875 100.0% |             |  |  |



#### 2015 - 2016 Report Card for Ravenna City School District



Superintendent: Dennis M. Honkala Address: 507 E Main St Ravenna OH 44266-3257 Phone: (330) 296-9679 County: Portage

#### Your District's Schools

| School                      | Achievement | Progress | Gap Closing | Graduation Rate | K-3 Literacy | Prepared for Success |
|-----------------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Brown Middle School         | D           | D        | F           | NR              | NR           | NR                   |
| Carlin Elementary School    | С           | С        | F           | NR              | С            | NR                   |
| Ravenna High School         | D           | D        | F           | С               | NR           | D                    |
| West Main Elementary School | С           | В        | F           | NR              | D            | NR                   |
| West Park Elementary School | NR          | NR       | NR          | NR              | NR           | NR                   |
| Willyard Elementary School  | D           | В        | F           | NR              | F            | NR                   |

No data returned for this view. This might be because the applied filter excludes all data.